

# Instanton Calculations for $N = \frac{1}{2}$ super Yang-Mills Theory

P.A. Grassi <sup>a,b,1</sup>, R. Ricci <sup>a,2</sup>, and D. Robles-Llana <sup>a,3</sup>

<sup>(a)</sup> *C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics,  
State University of New York at Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA*

<sup>(b)</sup> *Dipartimento di Scienze, Università del Piemonte Orientale,  
C.so Borsalino 54, Alessandria, 15100, ITALY*

We study (anti-) instantons in super Yang-Mills theories defined on a non anticommutative superspace. The instanton solution that we consider is the same as in ordinary  $SU(2)$   $N = 1$  super Yang-Mills, but the anti-instanton receives corrections to the  $U(1)$  part of the connection which depend quadratically on fermionic coordinates, and linearly on the deformation parameter  $C$ . By substituting the exact solution into the classical Lagrangian the topological charge density receives a new contribution which is quadratic in  $C$  and quartic in the fermionic zero-modes. The topological charge turns out to be zero. We perform an expansion around the exact classical solution in presence of a fermionic background and calculate the full superdeterminant contributing to the one-loop partition function. We find that the one-loop partition function is not modified with respect to the usual  $N = 1$  super Yang-Mills.

Revised 12/8/2003

---

<sup>1</sup> pgrassi@insti.physics.sunysb.edu

<sup>2</sup> rricci@grad.physics.sunysb.edu

<sup>3</sup> daniel@insti.physics.sunysb.edu

## 1. Introduction

It is a common belief that in the standard formulation of superspace, only the bosonic subspace may have a non-trivial topology. A superspace  $\mathcal{M}^{(n|m)}$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of its bosonic subspace  $\mathcal{M}_b$  and  $m$  is the dimension of spinor representation, is a Grassmannian vector bundle with no topology in the fibers. However, despite some attempts to construct models with non trivial superspace topology (see for example [1], [2] and [3]) and interesting arguments suggesting that only the topology of the bosonic subspace really matters (see for example [4]), a new superspace formulation [5] based on a construction in superstring theory [6]<sup>4</sup>, reopened the debate. For related considerations on deformed superspaces see also [8], [9].

In this approach, the fermionic coordinates  $\theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$  are no longer Grassmann variables, but they are promoted to elements of a Clifford algebra

$$\{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\} = 0, \quad \{\theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}. \quad (1.1)$$

where  $C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$  is the constant self-dual RR field strength of the closed string theory background. As a consequence the  $N = 1$  supersymmetry algebra is deformed and broken down to  $N = 1/2$  [5].

From a more physical perspective, and after several perturbative studies of  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric quantum field theories [10], one is tempted to ask about their non-perturbative aspects. The issue is not unrelated to the problem addressed in the previous paragraph: it is by now well established that the main sources of non-perturbative physics are objects which have also a special topological significance. One would then hope that knowing more about the non-perturbative regime of  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric theories might in addition shed some light on a possible non-trivial topology of superspace. In particular, in this paper we study instantons (anti-instantons), *i.e.* finite-action anti-selfdual (self-dual) solutions to the Euclidean equations of motion of (super) Yang-Mills theories, which have proven to be one of the main sources of insights in both the non-perturbative regime of quantum field theories, and the topology of four-manifolds (for a physics review, see for example [11]; for a mathematical introduction see [12]). As is well-known, the instanton charge is topological and completely computable in terms of the bosonic solution to the self-dual Yang-Mills equations. Moreover, instantons are degenerate

---

<sup>4</sup> A recent work [7] shows that a deformed superspace structure also emerges in superparticle theory.

solutions, and it is crucial to study their moduli space, which is parametrized by a set of variables which are referred to as collective coordinates. In some special instances a complete parametrization of this space can be obtained through the generators of the symmetries of the equations of motion which are broken by the classical solution (an example is  $N = 1$  SYM with  $SU(2)$  gauge group). More generally, however, one has to find the most general solution to the equations of motion through the ADHM construction (see for example [13], [14] and references therein).

Supersymmetry adds many interesting features to the study of instantons. The most salient is perhaps the fact that instantons in supersymmetric theories break half of the supersymmetries of the original action, in addition to translations, dilatations, and half of the Lorentz symmetry (this is possible only in Euclidean space). To be more specific, instantons ( $F_{\mu\nu}^+ = 0$ ) break the supersymmetries generated by  $\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}, S^\alpha$ , while anti-instantons ( $F_{\mu\nu}^- = 0$ ) break  $Q^\alpha, \bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ <sup>5</sup>. These broken supercharges give rise to fermionic collective coordinates, which can be thought of as the fermionic superpartners of the bosonic coordinates introduced above. Again, finding the complete set of fermionic collective coordinates requires solving the full equations of motion. In geometrical terms, the fermionic collective coordinates can be seen to parametrize the symplectic tangent space to the moduli space.

When considered from the quantum field theoretical perspective, instantons characterize topological vacua of the Euclidean theory around which one must expand in the computation of the path integral. Going back to Minkowski space they give the main contribution to tunneling processes which go as the square of the inverse of the coupling constant, and thus can never be seen in ordinary perturbation theory. In the semi-classical approximation, one must expand the classical action up to quadratic terms in quantum fluctuations around the instanton. The measure in the path integral is then modified by the degeneracy of solutions, which translates into the presence of zero-modes in the functional determinants. The correct normalization is determined by the jacobian obtained by trading the integration over the zero-modes for the collective coordinates. As we saw, in super Yang-Mills theories, instantons have fermionic counterparts which depend on fermionic collective coordinates. These must be accounted for by including the Pfaffian associated to their inner products in the measure, and the Grassmann variables are to be integrated over using Berezin integration. This leads to new genuinely non-perturbative effects, such as the well-known non-vanishing result for the gluino condensate.

---

<sup>5</sup> We are using the notations of [11] for the generators of the super-conformal group.

In this paper we would like to see how the characteristics of instanton calculations in ordinary supersymmetric theories are modified when one considers quantum field theories defined in deformed superspace. We will concentrate on pure  $U(2)$   $N = 1/2$  SYM, as an already non-trivial illustration of how these differences arise.

First and foremost, in  $N = 1/2$  theories the commutation relations for half of the supercharges, say  $Q^\alpha$ , are deformed to

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = -4C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^\mu \partial y^\nu}. \quad (1.2)$$

The Lagrangian for pure  $N = 1/2$  SYM contains two additional operators of dimensions 5 and 6 with respect to the  $N = 1$  case [5], but it is nonetheless renormalizable [10] (see later).

The fields obeying the anti-self-duality equations of conventional SYM ( $F_{\mu\nu}^+ = 0$ ) furnish a complete solution to the equations of motion even in the presence of the extra couplings. Moreover, the supersymmetries broken by these solutions are  $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha$ , which are not broken by the C-deformation. Consequently, the subalgebra generated by these supercharges should lead to the complete set of collective coordinates as usual, and the path integral measure can be constructed in the conventional way, at least at the classical level. The classical instanton action is the same as in  $N = 1$  SYM. The fermionic zero-modes of the Dirac operator in the instanton background could however be lifted in perturbation theory due to the presence of extra non-supersymmetric couplings in the action, thereby giving corrections to the effective action at one-loop.

On the other hand,  $N = 1$  anti-instantons ( $F_{\mu\nu}^- = 0$ ) do not provide a full solution to the classical equations of motion of the deformed theory. As noticed in [15] the extra couplings contribute fermionic source terms for the Dirac equation in the anti-instanton background. In this paper we revisit the procedure of [15] and solve the equations of motion exactly. Our perspective, however, differs from that of [15], and is more in line with the overall philosophy of [13]. We derive the complete solution through an iterative procedure which consists in systematically expanding the equations of motion in powers of the fermionic quasi-collective coordinates. In the end we arrive at the conclusion that the ordinary  $SU(2)$  supersymmetric anti-instanton is supplemented with a non-trivial  $U(1)$  connection which depends quadratically on fermionic variables (the Grassmann collective coordinates of the  $N = 1$  solution). When we substitute this solution into the lagrangian

we find the density charge

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{anti-instanton} = \frac{3072\rho^6(2x^4 - 3\rho^2x^2)}{(x^2 + \rho^2)^6} |C|^2 \bar{\eta}^2 \xi^2 \quad (1.3)$$

The new feature of (1.3) is the fact that it depends on the fermionic parameters  $\xi_\alpha$  and  $\bar{\eta}^\dot{\alpha}$ . The appearance of the second term in the exponent of (1.3) can be traced back to the fact that the anti-instanton breaks the supersymmetries which are already broken by the C-deformation. Consequently the fermionic parameters entering the anti-selfdual solution cannot be viewed as collective coordinates in the usual sense. Notice however that performing the integration over the bosonic variables  $x$ , the total charge, namely the instanton action, reproduce the usual undeformed  $N = 1$  answer (in the text, an argument based on the Atiyah Singer index Theorem will be used) <sup>6</sup>.

A similar situation occurs in  $N = 4$  SYM. In that case, not only the charge density, but also the total charge depends upon the fermionic “quasi-collective coordinates”, but this relies on the approximate nature of the solutions of the equations of motion [13], whereas in the present case the appearance of zero-modes in the Lagrangian is a direct consequence of the broken supersymmetry of the classical action <sup>7</sup>. Note that, as the C-deformation also breaks dilatational symmetry, it is perfectly reasonable that the parameter  $\rho$ , which labels the size of the anti-instanton, appears in (1.3).

The second step in path integral computations around a classical solution is the evaluation of radiative corrections. In the conventional case, the unbroken supersymmetries pair up the bosonic and fermionic fluctuations in the one-loop determinant, with the net effect of only a prefactor in the path integral depending on the regulator mass  $\mu$ . Together with the measure coming from the zero modes, it encodes the one-loop renormalization of the coupling constant. In section 6 we will discuss the one loop corrections to the effective action for  $N=1/2$  SYM in anti-instanton background. The novelty is the treatment of the fermionic modes. As discussed in [13] one can either treat the fermions perturbatively, expanding around a purely bosonic configuration, or *exactly* including them already in the classical background. Here we follow the second route. This has the following important consequence for the one-loop calculation: Performing an expansion around the full background of the C-deformed action induces new bilinear couplings between bosonic and

---

<sup>6</sup> This is consistent with the considerations of [4].

<sup>7</sup> A quantum mechanical situation in which exact solutions to the equations of motion yield an action depending on collective coordinates can be found in [16].

fermionic fluctuations. One is then prompted to calculate a superdeterminant in the space of all fields (unlike the conventional situation in which bosonic and fermionic determinants decouple). This computation, to the best of our knowledge, has never before been performed in this context (see however [17]). The one loop effective action turns out to be zero also. The physical reason behind the cancellation has to be found in the fact that the deformation in the sector considered breaks exactly the same supersymmetry generators broken already by the anti-instanton solution. Therefore we are left with the same supersymmetry generators as in  $N=1$  with anti-instanton background. The pairing mechanism which is responsible for the cancellation of the one loop contribution in the familiar  $N=1$  case turns out to be effective also in the present situation.

From this result we can derive interesting consequences. As usual in super-instanton computations one can obtain the the renormalization group  $\beta$ -function by combining the measure for zero-modes with the one-loop contribution to the path-integral. We find that the part independent of the Grassmann variables in the effective action gives the usual running of the coupling constant for  $U(2)$  super Yang-Mills.

The gluino condensate is not deformed by  $C$  since the anti-instanton moduli space measure is unchanged with respect to the  $N=1$  case.

Finally one word of caution is in order as to the physical interpretation of our results. This model makes sense only in Euclidean spacetime and therefore the usual physical interpretation of (anti)-instanton solutions as tunneling processes between topologically different bosonic Minkowski vacua of the theory is elusive.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. 2, we recall the basic facts about deformed superspace and super Yang-Mills theory. In Sec. 3, we discuss the role of collective coordinates for instanton and anti-instanton in deformed superspace. In Sec. 4, we solve the equations of motion iteratively for both instanton and (anti)-instanton. In Sec. 5, we compute the classical Lagrangian. In Sec. 6 we derive the (quasi) zero-mode measure, and the one-loop contributions to the effective action. Conclusions and future directions are given in Sec. 7.

## 2. The action and the symmetries

The lagrangian in deformed superspace for general  $U(N)$  gauge group is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 2i\lambda \not{D} \bar{\lambda} - D^2 - ig C^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \lambda \bar{\lambda} - g^2 \frac{|C|^2}{4} (\lambda \bar{\lambda})^2 \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that our conventions are opposite to the ones in [5]. We have chosen anti-hermitian generators *i.e.* for  $U(2)$  we take  $T^a = i\frac{\sigma^a}{2}$  for the  $SU(2)$  subgroup and  $T^4 = \frac{i}{2}$  for the  $U(1)$  part. In this way  $\text{tr}\{T^a T^b\} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$ .  $C^{\mu\nu} = C_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\sigma}_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}}$  is anti-symmetric and anti-selfdual. In these conventions the covariant derivative for any field in the adjoint is  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + g[A_\mu, \cdot]$ .

For a generic group, we have that  $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_\alpha^a \bar{\lambda}_\beta^b \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\{T^a, T^b\}$  and in the case of  $G = SU(N)$ , this is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_\alpha^a \bar{\lambda}_\beta^b d^{abc} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} T^c$ <sup>8</sup>. This is clearly zero for  $SU(2)$ . The action is not hermitian and it does not preserve  $R$  symmetry. Notice that the operators in the second line on (2.1) have dimensions 5 and 6. Nevertheless it turns out that the action is renormalizable [10]. The two operators break conformal invariance of the classical theory since the constant  $C^{\alpha\beta}$  has mass dimension -1. It has been shown in [19] that unusual mass dimensions can be assigned to  $\lambda$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}$  and  $C$ . This is the key to the renormalizability by power counting.

We work in chiral superspace with  $y^\mu = x^\mu - i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}$  and supercharges

$$Q_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} - 2i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu}, \quad \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}}. \quad (2.2)$$

In the supersymmetry algebra only the anticommutator of the  $Q_\alpha$ 's gets modified when we turn on  $C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = -4C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^\mu \partial y^\nu}. \quad (2.3)$$

The explicit presence of the  $C$  deformation in the algebra breaks the amount of supersymmetry from  $N=1$  to  $N=1/2$ . The only preserved charges are the  $\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ 's.

The symmetry of the action for  $C = 0$  is the complete superconformal group generated by  $D, \Pi, Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}, P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$  where  $\Pi$  is the generator of  $U(1)$  R-symmetry and  $D$  is the generator of the dilatations. Turning on the parameter  $C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ , the symmetry group is broken to the group generated by  $Q_\alpha, P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}$  which form a subalgebra.

### 3. Collective Coordinates

We now describe the collective coordinates which parametrize the coset space  $G/H$  where  $G$  and  $H$  are the symmetry groups of the action and of the solution, respectively. Therefore  $G/H$  represents the symmetries of the action which are broken by an explicit

<sup>8</sup> It should be noted that, in contrast to  $N = 1$  SYM, the tensor  $d^{abc}$  enters the classical action. The same phenomenon is present in non-commutative space-time (see [18] and references thereof).

instanton solution. In  $N = 1$   $SU(2)$  super Yang-Mills, given a bosonic solution to the equations of motion one can reconstruct the most general solution depending on the set of bosonic and fermionic collective coordinates  $\{b_i\}, \{f_i\}$  applying the generalized shift operator  $V(\{b_i\}, \{f_i\}) = \prod_{i,j} e^{Q_i^{bos} b_i} e^{Q_j^{ferm} f_j}$  where  $Q_i^{bos}$  and  $Q_j^{ferm}$  are the fermionic and bosonic generators of broken symmetries [11]. Let us begin considering the deformed anti-instanton solution [15]. The usual solution is modified by the presence of Grassmann variables. The novelty here is that the bosonic background breaks the chiral supersymmetries which are already broken by the C deformation from the outset. The axial R symmetry generated by  $\Pi = \theta^\alpha \partial/\partial\theta^\alpha - \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial/\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$  is also explicitly broken by the C deformation  $\{\theta^{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta^{\dot{\beta}}\} = C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ . The R symmetry can be restored introducing the term  $-2C^{\alpha\beta}\partial/\partial C^{\alpha\beta}$  in  $\Pi$  assigning R symmetry number -2 to  $C^{\alpha\beta}$ <sup>9</sup> As already remarked the dilatations are broken: the classical action is not conformally invariant. It is also easy to check that only the chiral superconformal generators  $S_\alpha$ 's are symmetries. The Lorentz invariance of the action is also broken down to the Lorentz generators  $M_{\alpha\beta}$ . The symmetry group of the Lagrangian is therefore  $G = (\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha, P_\mu, M_{\alpha\beta})$ .

The symmetry group preserved by the anti-instanton solution is  $H = (\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha, M_{\alpha\beta})$  and  $G/H = \{P_\mu\}$ . The moduli space of the instanton solution is therefore parameterized by the collective coordinate corresponding to the translations. In particular the Grassmann parameters  $\xi_\alpha$  and  $\bar{\eta}_{\dot{\alpha}}$  which, in the usual  $N = 1$  superspace, parameterize the moduli space do no longer index exact zero modes of the action. We therefore expect them to appear in the classical action once we substitute the exact solution. Still they must be integrated over in the path integral, with the modified measure given by their potential in the classical action.

In the instanton background the group of symmetries preserved by the solution is  $H = (Q_\alpha, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})$ . Therefore we have the usual coset  $G/H = (\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha, P_\mu, M_{\alpha\beta})$ .

#### 4. Iterative solution of the equations of motion

In this section we solve the classical equations of motion (we restrict our attention to  $U(2)$  gauge group) order by order in fermionic quasi-collective coordinates. We will see that the usual anti-instanton ( $F_{\mu\nu}^- = 0$ ) receives corrections of order  $g^0$  quadratic in

---

<sup>9</sup> This is the usual procedure of treating the parameters in the classical action as constant background fields, and assigning spurious charges to them [20].

fermionic coordinates, whereas the instanton ( $F_{\mu\nu}^+ = 0$ ) remains an exact solution. The equations of motion for the lagrangian (2.1) are

$$D^\mu(F_{\mu\nu} - igC_{\mu\nu}\lambda\lambda) + i(\bar{\sigma}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})_\nu\{\lambda^\alpha, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$i\sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda} = \lambda \left( igC^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^- + g^2 \frac{|C|^2}{2} \lambda\lambda \right), \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \lambda = 0.$$

#### 4.1. Anti-instanton solution

We want to solve (4.1) in an anti-instantonic background. Anticipating the final result, and as a kind of synopsis, we can already say that the fields admit an expansion

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= g^{-1}A_\mu^{(0)} + g^0 A_\mu^{(1)} \\ \lambda &= g^{-1}\lambda^{(0)}, \quad \bar{\lambda} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We start by setting the fermions to zero,  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda} = 0$ . The equations become

$$D^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

As customary, this equation admits self-dual solutions for which

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(0),-} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

The gauge field configuration which solves (4.4) is the usual anti-instanton

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^a(x, x_0, \rho) &= \frac{1}{g} \frac{2\eta_{\mu\nu}^a(x - x_0)_\nu}{(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2} = g^{-1}A_\mu^{(0),a} \\ F_{\mu\nu}^{(0),a}(x, x_0, \rho) &= \frac{1}{g} \eta_{\mu\nu}^a \frac{\rho^4}{[(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2]^4} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where the index  $a$  belongs to  $SU(2)$ , and  $\eta_{\mu\nu}^a$  are the 't Hooft symbols. We have written (4.5) in regular gauge. Note that at this stage the  $U(1)$  part of the connection is zero, as usual  $U(1)$  (anti-) instantons are necessarily flat. However, we must substitute the background (4.5) into the Dirac operator. The equations for the fermions become

$$\sigma^\mu D_\mu^{(0)} \bar{\lambda}^{(0)} = 0, \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu^{(0)} \lambda^{(0)} = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

where  $D_\mu^{(0)}$  is the Dirac operator with respect to the connection (4.5). Now, the second equation in (4.6) has non-trivial zero-modes given by

$$\lambda^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha{}_\beta \left( \xi^\beta - \bar{\eta}_\gamma \bar{\sigma}_\rho^\gamma{}^\beta (x - x_0)^\rho \right) F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = g^{-1} \lambda^{(0),\alpha} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = F_{\mu\nu}^{(0),a} T^a$ . As an aside, note that the modes (4.7) are not generated by any symmetry of the equations of motion, as superconformal transformations are explicitly broken in this sector. Nevertheless their presence is required by the index theorem.

Once  $\lambda^{(0)}$  has been switched on the equations of motion are modified to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D^{(0),\mu}(F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} - i\frac{C_{\mu\nu}}{g}\lambda^{(0)}\lambda^{(0)}) \\ 0 &= i\sigma^\mu D_\mu^{(0)}\bar{\lambda} - i\lambda^{(0)}C_{\mu\nu}\left(F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} - i\frac{C_{\mu\nu}}{2g}\lambda^{(0)}\lambda^{(0)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

In order to satisfy both equations simultaneously, notice that  $C_{\mu\nu}$  is anti-selfdual, and thus  $C_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^-$ . Using this and the Bianchi identity  $D^\mu F_{\mu\nu}^+ = D^\mu F_{\mu\nu}^-$  we see that one can set  $\bar{\lambda} = 0$  and impose

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(1),-} - \frac{i}{2g}C_{\mu\nu}\lambda^{(0)}\lambda^{(0)} = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

The analysis of [15] shows that the correction to  $F_{\mu\nu}^-$  to second order in Grassmann coordinates affects only the  $U(1)$  part of the curvature, and takes the form

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(1),4-} = -\frac{1}{4g}C_{\mu\nu}\lambda^{(0),a}\lambda^{(0),a} \quad (4.10)$$

with  $\lambda^4=0$ . Using some spinor algebra, we can calculate the square on the right-hand side. We find

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{(0),\alpha a}\lambda_\alpha^{(0),a} = 96\left[\xi^2 - 2\bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}_\rho\xi(x - x_0)^\rho + \bar{\eta}^2(x - x_0)^2\right]\frac{\rho^4}{[(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2]^4}. \quad (4.11)$$

We see that the  $U(1)$  part of the connection is of order  $g^0$  and depends quadratically on the Grassmann coordinates.

For later use we write down explicit expressions for the different Grassmann components of  $A_\mu^{(2),4}$ . The strategy to follow is based on the fact that if  $F_{\mu\nu}^{-4}$  can be written as  $BC_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 K(x)$  then  $A_\mu^4 = -BC_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu K(x)$ , where  $B$  is a constant. A simple way to prove this is using spinor notation: assuming  $A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = C_\alpha^\gamma\partial_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}}K$ . Then

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{(\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}A_{\beta)\dot{\alpha}} = C_{(\beta}^\gamma\partial_{\alpha)}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}}K \sim C_{\alpha\beta}\partial^2 K \quad (4.12)$$

where we used  $\partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\alpha}\partial^2$ .

In our case we have

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi)^2,4-} = -2\xi^2C_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 K_1(x, x_0, \rho) \quad (4.13)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}^2),4-} = 2\rho^2\bar{\eta}^2C_{\mu\nu}\partial^2K_2(x, x_0, \rho)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi),4-} = 4\rho^2C_{\mu\nu}\partial^2K_3(x, x_0, \rho)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x, x_0, \rho) &= \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{[(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2]^2} - \frac{2}{(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2} \\ K_2(x, x_0, \rho) &= \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{[(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2]^2} + \frac{1}{(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2} \\ K_3(x, x_0, \rho) &= \frac{\bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}_\rho\xi(x - x_0)^\rho}{[(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

It is easy to see that the iteration procedure stops at order  $\frac{C}{g}$ : the covariant derivative in  $SU(2)$  does not get corrections in Grassmann variables, and the covariant derivative in  $U(1)$  is the normal derivative for fields in the adjoint, and is thus insensitive to the Grassmann-corrected part of the  $U(1)$  connection. Therefore there are no further  $U(1)$  normalizable zero modes and  $\lambda^4$  stays zero at further orders in the coupling constant <sup>10</sup>. The full solution can then be written

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= g^{-1} \frac{2\eta_{\mu\nu}^a T^a (x - x_0)_\nu}{(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2} + g^0 \left[ -2\xi^2 C_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu K_1 + 2\bar{\eta}^2 \rho^2 C_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu K_2 + 4\rho^2 C_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu K_3 \right] T^4 \\ \lambda^\alpha &= g^{-1} \left[ \frac{g}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha{}_\beta \left( \xi^\beta - \bar{\eta}_\gamma \bar{\sigma}_\rho^\gamma \dot{\beta}(x - x_0)^\rho \right) F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} \right] \\ \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

#### 4.2. Instanton solution

The instanton solution is the same as in the undeformed case. Starting now with  $F_{\mu\nu}^{(0),+} = 0$  and  $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda = 0$ , we note that in this case the Dirac operator  $\sigma^\mu D_\mu$  has non-trivial zeromodes for  $\bar{\lambda}$  whereas  $\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu$  has none, and thus  $\lambda = 0$ . Once again  $\bar{\lambda}^{(1)}$  are given

---

<sup>10</sup> Thinking of the  $C$  parameter as a smooth deformation we can still apply the Atiyah-Singer Theorem

$$ind(\mathcal{D}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \text{Tr} F \wedge F \quad (4.15)$$

Indeed notice that the index of the Dirac operator (which measures the difference between the number of zero-modes for  $\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha$ ) does not depend on the deformation parameter  $C$  since the equation for  $\bar{\lambda}$  is the usual Dirac equation and the equation for  $\lambda$  becomes the usual one when we impose the modified instanton condition. From this we infer that there are no zero modes associated to the  $U(1)$  part of the connection and the equality (4.15) forces the additional  $U(1)$  piece ( $U(1)$  topological charge)  $\int F_{\mu\nu}^4 F_{\mu\nu}^4$  to vanish.

by the usual fermions required by the index theorem. The difference with the previous case is that now, as  $\lambda$  has to be zero at this order in Grassmann variables the equations of motion do no acquire a fermion source term, and the initial bosonic solution remains a solution. We can then write the full solution as

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= g^{-1} \frac{2\bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a T^a (x - x_0)_\nu}{(x - x_0)^2 + \rho^2} \\ \lambda^\alpha &= 0 \\ \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= g^{-1} \left[ \frac{g}{2} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \left( \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} - \eta_\gamma \sigma_\rho^{\gamma\dot{\beta}} (x - x_0)^\rho \right) F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

## 5. The classical action

In order to do a semi-classical calculation around the (anti-)instanton background, we would like to substitute the solutions found in the previous section into the classical action given in (2.1). We consider anti-instantons and instantons in turn.

### 5.1. Anti-instanton

To find the classical action for the anti-instanton solution we perform the Bogomol'ny trick of [15] and write the action as

$$S_{bos} = - \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left( F_{\mu\nu}^- - \frac{i}{2} g C_{\mu\nu} \lambda \lambda \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \text{Tr} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (5.1)$$

The first term is saturated for the solution found above, and thus the contribution to the classical action comes entirely from the Chern-Simons-like term. However one has to be aware of the fact that the field strengths now depend on the Grassmann variables, and thus the lagrangian will itself depend on fermionic coordinates.

To calculate the topological term we find it convenient to rewrite it as

$$-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} (F_{\mu\nu} - 2F_{\mu\nu}^-) = -\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - 2F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^-). \quad (5.2)$$

We have to recall that for  $a$  in  $SU(2)$   $F_{\mu\nu}^a$  is the usual anti-instanton field strength such that  $F_{\mu\nu}^{a,-} = 0$ , and  $F_{\mu\nu}^{4,-} = \xi^2 F_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi)^2 4,-} + \bar{\eta}^2 F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}^2)^4,-} + F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi)^4,-}$ . The total anti-instanton action will have contributions

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - 2F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^-) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \left( F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi)^4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi)^4} - 2F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi)^4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi)^4,-} \right) + \right. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$+ (\bar{\eta}^2 \xi^2) \left( 2F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}^2),4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi^2),4} - 2 \left( F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}^2),4} - F_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi^2),4} + F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}^2),4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi^2),4-} \right) \right) \right].$$

From the expressions for  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  we can calculate this quantity. Recalling

$$\xi^2 F_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi^2),4} = 2\xi^2 (C_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\rho - C_{\mu\sigma} \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma) K_1 \quad (5.4)$$

$$\bar{\eta}^2 F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}^2),4} = -2\rho^2 \bar{\eta}^2 (C_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\rho - C_{\mu\sigma} \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma) K_2$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(\bar{\eta}\xi),4} = -4\rho^2 (C_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\rho - C_{\mu\sigma} \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma) K_3$$

we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(4)} = \frac{3072\rho^6(2x^4 - 3\rho^2x^2)}{(x^2 + \rho^2)^6} |C|^2 \bar{\eta}^2 \xi^2. \quad (5.5)$$

Putting everything together the classical action for the anti-instanton is

$$S_{anti-instanton} = \int d^4x \left[ \frac{96}{g^2} \frac{\rho^4}{(x^2 + \rho^2)^4} + \frac{3072\rho^6(2x^4 - 3\rho^2x^2)}{(x^2 + \rho^2)^6} |C|^2 \bar{\eta}^2 \xi^2 \right] = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}. \quad (5.6)$$

We then see that, although the  $U(1)$  anti-instanton charge density is non vanishing, only the  $SU(2)$  part contributes to the total topological charge. This is in accordance with the index theorem.

### 5.2. Instanton

Because in the instanton solution to the equations of motion one has  $\lambda = 0$  the classical instanton action does not suffer any modification from the usual  $N = 1$  super Yang-Mills. We then have

$$S_{instanton} = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}. \quad (5.7)$$

## 6. Path integral measure and semi-classical approximation

Before we proceed to set up a semi-classical calculation around the (anti-) instanton solutions found in previous sections, we review the conventional approach to better contrast the new elements which arise in our situation.

The general procedure consists in splitting the fields in the path integral into a classical part which satisfies the classical equations of motion and a quantum part which describes the fluctuations around the classical solution. After fixing a gauge, one plugs the field expansion into the gauge-fixed action and keeps terms up to second order in quantum

fluctuations. This yields a product of determinants corresponding to the different fields in the path integral. A characteristic feature of instanton calculations is that the quadratic operators corresponding to these determinants have zero modes which must be treated separately in order for the path integral to give a sensible result. The presence of these zero modes is due to a degeneracy of lowest-energy configurations, which is parameterized by a set of collective coordinates. One must choose a gauge to fix this degeneracy and trade in the integration over zero-modes in the path integral for an integration over the collective coordinates. In the process one picks up a jacobian factor which determines the measure of integration over the collective coordinates.

To see this in a little more detail consider the generic field expansion

$$\phi_m^M(x) = \phi_m^M(x; X) + \delta\phi_m^M(x; X) \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\phi(x; X)$  is a classical background with degeneracy parameterized by collective coordinates denoted generically by  $X^A$  (these encompass both fermionic and bosonic collective coordinates), and  $\delta\phi(x; X)$  is the quantum fluctuation. The zero modes are then  $\delta_A\phi_n(x)$ , where  $\delta_A$  denotes differentiation with respect to  $X^A$ . The action is then expanded to quadratic order in the fluctuations,  $S = \delta\phi_n^N \mathcal{O}_{nm}^{NM} \delta\phi_m^M$ . Expanding the fluctuations in terms of a complete set of eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{O}$

$$\delta\phi_n^M = \sum_A \xi^A \delta_A \phi_n^M + \tilde{\phi}_n^M \quad (6.2)$$

where  $\delta_A\phi_n^M$  are the zero-modes and  $\tilde{\phi}_n^M$  are the non-zero eigenvectors, the path integral measure becomes

$$\int [d\phi_m^M] = \int \left[ \sqrt{\text{Sdet } g_{AB}(X)} \prod_A \frac{d\xi^A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] [d\tilde{\phi}_m^M] \quad (6.3)$$

where  $g_{AB} = k \int d^4x \text{Tr} \delta_A \phi_r^M \delta_B \phi_r^M$  is the suitably normalized metric of inner products of the zero-modes.

The next step is to fix the gauge for both non-zero and zero-modes, and trade  $d\xi^A$  for  $dX^a$  in the integration over the zero-modes. To do that one performs a BRST quantization inserting unity into the path integral in the form <sup>11</sup>

$$1 = \int \prod_A [dX^A] [d\Omega(x)] \delta(G(\tilde{\phi}^\Omega)) \prod_n \delta(f_A(X)) \det \left| \frac{\delta G(\tilde{\phi}^\Omega)}{\delta \Omega} \right| \text{Sdet} \left| \frac{\delta f_A}{\delta X^B} \right| \quad (6.4)$$

---

<sup>11</sup> We are being schematic here. There are subtleties concerning the asymptotics of the gauge transformations, which entangle the gauge fixing for zero and non-zero modes. For more details see [21].

where  $G(\tilde{\phi}) = D_m \tilde{\phi}_m$  (background gauge) and  $f_A = k \int d^4x Tr \delta \phi_m^M \delta_A \phi_m^M = \sum_A \xi^B g_{AB}$ .  $\delta(G)$  fixes the gauge for the non-zero modes, and  $\det \left| \frac{\delta G(\phi^{\tilde{\Omega}})}{\delta \Omega} \right|$  gives the usual Faddeev-Popov determinant. For the zero-modes  $\delta(f_A(X))$  enforces  $\xi^A = 0$ , as  $g_{AB}$  is invertible. Moreover to leading order in  $g$ ,  $\frac{\delta f_A}{\delta X^B} = g_{AB}$  so that

$$\text{Sdet} \left| \frac{\delta f_A}{\delta X^B} \right| = \text{Sdet}[g_{AB}] \quad (6.5)$$

The measure for the gauge-fixed action becomes

$$\int \left[ \sqrt{\text{Sdet } g_{AB}(X)} \prod_A \frac{dX^A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] [d\tilde{\phi}_m^M] \det (-D^{(0)})^2 \quad (6.6)$$

Note that one can introduce additional anticommuting ghosts  $\bar{c}^{(0)a}$  and  $c^{(0)b}$  for the bosonic zero-modes, and commuting ghosts  $\bar{\gamma}^{(0)\alpha}$  and  $\gamma^{(0)\beta}$  for the fermionic zero-modes, and write

$$\sqrt{\text{Sdet } g_{AB}} = \int [d\bar{c}^{(0)}] [dc^{(0)}] [d\bar{\gamma}^{(0)}] [d\gamma^{(0)}] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \bar{c}^{(0)a} g_{ab} c^{(0)b} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma}^{(0)\alpha} g_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^{(0)\beta} \right] \quad (6.7)$$

assuming orthogonality between bosonic and fermionic zero-modes. We now see how these considerations apply to our situation.

### 6.1. Anti-instanton

The splitting into background plus fluctuation of the fields in the path integral takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^a &= \frac{1}{g} A_\mu^{(0),a} + Q_\mu^a, & A_\mu^4 &= A_\mu^{(1),4} + Q_\mu^4 \\ \bar{\lambda} &= \bar{q}, & \lambda &= g^{-1} \lambda^{(0)} + q \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

with the expressions for the different fields given in (4.16). We now must compute the quadratic part in the fluctuations of the classical lagrangian. However, we should stress that the background (6.8) is non-conventional, insofar as it includes fermions. Usually fermion zero-modes are not included in the classical background, and are treated in perturbation theory <sup>12</sup>. The main difference with the conventional treatment boils down to the fact that the background fermions induce additional fermion-boson and fermion-fermion couplings in the quadratic expansion of the classical action. In the one-loop calculation one is then forced to compute the *superdeterminant* of these fields.

---

<sup>12</sup> We acknowledge P. van Nieuwenhuizen and S. Vandoren for communicating to us their preliminary results [13] for  $N = 4$  SYM.

To perform the computation we choose a  $U(2)$  background gauge fixing

$$D_\mu^{(0)} Q_\mu = 0$$

which adds

$$\mathcal{L}_{g.f.} + \mathcal{L}_{ghost} = \text{Tr} \left[ (D_\mu^{(0)} Q_\mu^a)^2 - 2b D^{(0)2} c \right] \quad (6.9)$$

to the action. The gauge-fixed action action, up to quadratic fluctuations, can be written as

$$S_{quad} = \int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_{g.f.} + \mathcal{L}_{ghost} \right] \quad (6.10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_{g.f.} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_\nu & q^\beta & \bar{q}_\dot{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{\nu\mu} & \mathcal{B}_\nu^{\alpha} & \mathcal{C}_{\nu\dot{\alpha}} \\ \mathcal{D}_{\beta\mu} & \mathcal{E}_\beta^{\alpha} & \mathcal{F}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \\ \mathcal{G}^{\dot{\beta}}_\mu & \mathcal{H}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} & \mathcal{I}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_\mu \\ q_\alpha \\ \bar{q}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.11)$$

and we have suppressed gauge indices for clarity. The different elements of this matrix can be read from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \Big|_{quad} &= \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (D_\mu^{(0)} Q_\nu^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu^{(0)} Q_\mu^a)^2 - g \epsilon_{abc} Q_\nu^a F_{\mu\nu}^{(0),b} Q_\mu^c \right] + \quad (6.12) \\ &+ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu Q_\nu^4)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu Q_\mu^4)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{tr} \lambda \not{D} \bar{\lambda} \Big|_{quad} &= -\bar{q}^4 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu q^4 - q^4 \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{q}^4 - \bar{q}^a (\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu^{(0)} q)^a - q^a (\sigma^\mu D_\mu^{(0)} \bar{q})^a \\ &- \left( \bar{q}^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu [Q_\mu, \lambda^{(0)}]^a + \lambda^{(0),a} \sigma^\mu [Q_\mu, \bar{q}]^a \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i g \text{Tr} \left[ C^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \lambda \lambda \right] \Big|_{quad} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ C_{\mu\nu} \partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}^{(1),4} (q^4 q^4 + q^a q^a) \right] + \quad (6.13) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ C_{\mu\nu} \left( (\partial_{[\mu} Q_\nu^a) + [A_\mu^{(0)}, Q_\nu]^a) q^4 \lambda^{(0),a} + \partial_{[\mu} Q_\nu^4] q^a \lambda^{(0),a} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$g^2 \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{|C|^2}{4} (\lambda \lambda)^2 \right] \Big|_{quad} = \frac{|C|^2}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{4} (\lambda^{(0),a} \lambda^{(0),a}) (q^b q^b) + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^{(0),a} q^a \lambda^{(0),b} q^b) \right] \quad (6.14)$$

The expansion of the superdeterminant (6.11) can be done systematically using

$$\text{Sdet} \begin{pmatrix} X_{bb} & Y_{bf} \\ W_{fb} & Z_{ff} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\det X_{bb}}{\det (Z_{ff} - W_{fb} X_{bb}^{-1} Y_{bf})} \quad (6.15)$$

In our case  $X_{bb} = \mathcal{A}_{\nu\mu}$  is the usual bosonic quadratic operator for  $U(2)$  (super) Yang-Mills,  $Z_{ff} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_\beta{}^\alpha & \mathcal{F}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \\ \mathcal{H}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} & \mathcal{I}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} = \Delta_D + \Delta_E$ .  $\Delta_D$  is the usual operator for adjoint Dirac fermions in  $U(2)$  (super) Yang-Mills and  $\Delta_E$  encodes the additional fermion-fermion couplings arising from the first line in (6.13) and from (6.14). We see that  $\mathcal{I}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$ . Finally  $Y_{bf} = (\mathcal{B}_\nu{}^\alpha \quad \mathcal{C}_{\nu\dot{\alpha}})$  and  $W$  its fermionic transpose. We can expand the logarithm of the superdeterminant as

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Sdet} \begin{pmatrix} X_{bb} & Y_{bf} \\ W_{fb} & Z_{ff} \end{pmatrix} &= \log \det \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} - \log \det \Delta_D - \\ &\quad - \text{Tr} \log \left( 1 + \Delta_D^{-1} \Delta_E - \Delta_D^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\beta\mu} \\ \mathcal{G}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_\mu \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu})^{-1} (\mathcal{B}_\nu{}^\alpha \quad \mathcal{C}_{\nu\dot{\alpha}}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

The first two terms in (6.16) give the same contributions as in  $N = 1$   $SU(2)$  super Yang-Mills, as the  $U(1)$  part in these terms yields only an infinite constant which is canceled once we normalize with respect to the vacuum. The calculation is standard, but we reproduce it here for completeness. Integration over  $A_\mu^a$  yields

$$[\det' \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}]^{-1/2} \quad (6.17)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} = -(D^{(0)})^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - 2F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ , and the prime indicates that the determinant has to be amputated because, as usual, it has zero-modes. Integration over the  $SU(2)$  fermions gives

$$\det \Delta_D = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu D_\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} = [\det' \Delta_-]^{1/4} [\det \Delta_+]^{1/4} \quad (6.18)$$

Here  $\Delta_+ = -\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \sigma^\nu D_\nu = -D^2$  is the hermitian operator for the  $SU(2)$   $\bar{\lambda}$  fluctuations (with the same spectrum as  $\sigma^\mu D_\mu$ ). As there are no  $\bar{\lambda}$  zeromodes the determinant is the full one. On the other hand  $\Delta_- = -\sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu D_\nu = -D^2 - \sigma_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$  has zeromodes, and the determinant has to be amputated. The spectrum of non-zero eigenvalues of  $\Delta_+$  and  $\Delta_-$  is the same. For the ghosts one gets  $\det \Delta_{\text{ghosts}}$ , where  $\Delta_{\text{ghosts}} = -D^2$ .

It is a standard fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \det' \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} &= [\det' \Delta_-]^2 \\ \det \Delta_{\text{ghosts}} &= [\det \Delta_+]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

Using this the total product of determinants is given by

$$\left[ \frac{\det \Delta_+}{\det' \Delta_-} \right]^{3/4} \quad (6.20)$$

which is formally one, since as mentioned before both determinants have the same spectrum of non-zero eigenvalues. One has to introduce a regularization scheme to make sense of these determinants, however. The usual procedure is to use a Pauli-Villars regulator mass. With this the total product of determinants picks up a factor of  $\mu^{n_b - \frac{1}{2}n_f} = \mu^6$  where  $n_{b(f)}$  is the number of bosonic (fermionic) zero-modes.

The new part of the calculation comes from the second line in (6.16). One has to expand the logarithm in powers of the background fields and keep gauge invariant combinations. The possible one-loop diagrams contributing have been analyzed in [22]<sup>13</sup>. The non vanishing one-loop Feynman diagrams come in two different topologies (see figs. 3 and 6 in [22]). We now show how these diagrams appear from the super-determinant expansion. The first diagram contributes to the renormalization of the  $A\lambda\lambda$  vertex and contains a loop made of two fermionic and one bosonic propagator, in which the bosonic propagator runs between two external  $\lambda$ , and the fermionic between external  $A$  and  $\lambda$ . The modified  $C$  dependent vertex can be any vertex of the diagram. Using the notation of [22] the diagrams  $(1_c, 2, 3), (1, 2_c, 3), (1, 2, 3_c)$  all contribute (the index "c" specifies the position of the modified vertex). As usual in background field formalism only a reduced set of diagrams contribute. For this topology it is easy to see, using the background Feynman rules, that only the diagram  $(1, 2, 3_c)$  contribute with a background  $U(1)$  photon entering vertex 3, 2  $SU(2)$  fluctuation fermions and one  $SU(2)$  fluctuation photon circulating in the loop. Putting  $x = \Delta_D^{-1}\Delta_E$ ,  $y = -\Delta_D^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\beta\mu} \\ \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^{\dot{\mu}} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu})^{-1} (\mathcal{B}_{\nu}^{\alpha} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\nu\dot{\alpha}})$  and expanding the logarithm we see that in our approach<sup>14</sup> the three vertex diagram arises from the term  $xy$ . Indeed in  $xy$  one finds the term

$$(\Delta_D^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\beta} (\Delta_E)^{\beta}_{\gamma} (\Delta_D^{-1})^{\gamma}_{\dot{\delta}} \mathcal{G}^{\dot{\delta}}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{\nu\dot{\alpha}} \quad (6.21)$$

with two background fermions  $\lambda^a \lambda^a$  and one background  $A_{\mu}^4$  (note that terms with an  $A_{\mu}^a$  background vanish due to the anti-selfduality of  $C$ ). All the other terms in  $xy$  do not give diagrams consistent with the Feynman rules and therefore do not contribute. We

---

<sup>13</sup> In the computation that follows one can use conventional Background Field formalism techniques, with the exception that the propagator  $\Delta_D^{-1}$  contains no zero-modes. However, we will only need the UV divergent part of the Feynman diagrams, and therefore the amputated propagators can be well approximated by the usual background field ones in this regime.

<sup>14</sup> We are using a simple non-supersymmetric background gauge in which, unlike [22], there are no couplings between ghosts and fermions.

can also draw a new diagram which is identical to  $(1, 2, 3_c)$  apart from substituting the modified vertex with a new vertex originating from the term  $-|C|^2/16(q^a q^a)(\lambda^{(0)b} \lambda^{(0),b})$  present in  $-|C|^2/4(\lambda \lambda)_{quad}^2$ . The new diagram cancels exactly the previous one. Indeed the modified vertex in  $(1, 2, 3_c)$  comes from  $-1/4C_{\mu\nu}\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}^4 q^a q^a = 1/16|C|^2\lambda^{(0),a}\lambda^{(0),a}q^b q^b$  where we used the deformed anti-instanton equation  $F_{\mu\nu}^{(-),4} = -1/4C_{\mu\nu}\lambda^{(0),a}\lambda^{(0),a}$ .

By power counting at the vertices (and accounting for the  $g$ -scalings of the different background fields) this diagram goes as  $|C|^2$ . Moreover, the external field structure implies that it is proportional to  $\bar{\eta}^2 \xi^2$ . The other non-vanishing diagram is the one that renormalizes the  $\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda$  coupling and has four external fermions, a loop with two fermionic propagators and two bosonic propagators. Using the background Feynman rules we find that, in agreement with [22], the only consistent diagrams are  $(1_c, 2_c, 3, 4)$  and  $(1, 2, 3_c, 4_c)$  with one U(1) photon connecting the C-modified vertices and SU(2) fermions circulating in the loop. These diagrams come from the  $y^2$  term of the super-determinant expansion. For instance the diagram  $(1_c, 2_c, 3, 4)$  arises from

$$(\Delta_D^{-1})^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathcal{G}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_\mu (\mathcal{A}^{-1})_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{C}_{\nu\dot{\gamma}} (\Delta_D^{-1})^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_\delta \mathcal{D}^\delta{}_\rho (\mathcal{A}^{-1})_{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{B}^\sigma{}_\alpha. \quad (6.22)$$

The contribution of this diagram is also zero because we have four fermions in the background and  $(\lambda^{(0),a} \lambda^{(0),a})^2 = 0$ . Once again this goes as  $|C|^2 \bar{\eta}^2 \xi^2$ . It is also possible to check that all the other terms coming from the super-determinant expansion do not generate consistent diagrams. Therefore the one-loop effective action is zero and the zero modes remain unlifted to this order in perturbation theory. Much as in the  $N = 1$  case, the supersymmetries left unbroken by both the anti-instanton and the C-deformation are still effective in compensating the bosonic and fermionic fluctuations in the one-loop (super)determinant.

Finally, the last part of the calculation corresponds to the integration the modes that were amputated in the determinants of the first line in (6.16), namely the zero-modes of  $A_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Delta_-$ . The calculation reduces to the computation of the superdeterminant (6.7) for ordinary  $N = 1$  SYM.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\text{Sdet } g_{AB}}|_{N=1 \text{SYM}} &= \left[ \int db_a db_b e^{-b_a < \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial b_a} | \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial b_b} > b_b} \right]^{-1/2} \left[ \int df_\alpha df_\beta e^{-f_\alpha < \frac{\partial \lambda^\gamma}{\partial f_\alpha} | \frac{\partial \lambda^\gamma}{\partial f_\beta} > f_\beta} \right]^{-1/2} \\ &= 2^{10} \pi^6 g^{-8} \rho^3 \left( \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \right) \left( \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2 \rho^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

In the formula above  $b_a$  stands for bosonic coordinates, namely  $x_0, \rho$  and gauge orientations,

and  $f_\alpha$  stands for  $\bar{\eta}, \xi$ . The normalization for the inner products is

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial b_a} \middle| \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial b_b} \right\rangle &= g_{ab} = -\frac{2}{g^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr} \delta_a A_\mu^{(0)} \delta_b A^{(0)\mu} \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial \lambda^\gamma}{\partial f_\alpha} \middle| \frac{\partial \lambda_\gamma}{\partial f_\beta} \right\rangle &= g_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{2}{g^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr} \delta_\alpha \lambda_\gamma^{(0)} \delta_\beta \lambda^{(0)\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Boson and fermion zero-modes are orthogonal. Putting everything together the total semi-classical partition function for the anti-instanton is

$$\mathcal{Z} = 2^{10} \pi^6 g^{-8} \mu^6 \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} - i\theta \right) \right] \quad (6.25)$$

$$\int d^4x_0 \int \rho^3 d\rho \int d^2\xi \left( \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \right) \int d^2\bar{\eta} \left( \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2 \rho^2} \right)$$

As a final remark we notice that the gluino condensate is unchanged with respect to the familiar  $N=1$  result. This is due to the fact that volume form in the anti-instanton moduli space does not get C-corrections.

## 6.2. Instanton

In the case of the instanton the g-expansion of the different fields is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^a &= g^{-1} A_\mu^{(0),a} + Q_\mu^a, & A_\mu^4 &= Q_\mu^4 \\ \bar{\lambda} &= g^{-1} \bar{\lambda}^{(0)} + \bar{q}, & \lambda &= q \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

The expansion, up to quadratic terms in fluctuations, of the C-deformed part of the action is then

$$\begin{aligned} ig \text{Tr} C_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \lambda \lambda |_{quad} &= \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{(0),a} q^4 q^a \\ - g^2 \text{Tr} \frac{|C|^2}{4} (\lambda \lambda)^2 |_{quad} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

The undeformed part of the action also acquires a new coupling with respect to usual  $U(2)$   $N=1$  SYM when we substitute the background fermion  $\bar{\lambda}^{(0)}$ . It is given by

$$g^{1/2} \left( \bar{\lambda}^{(0),a} \bar{\sigma}^\mu [Q_\mu, q]^a + q^a \sigma^\mu [Q_\mu, \bar{\lambda}^{(0)}]^a \right) \quad (6.28)$$

however, in this case it is not strictly necessary to incorporate the  $\bar{\lambda}$  fermions into the classical background, as the classical instanton action does not depend on the background fermions. We can then follow the usual prescription and treat them in perturbation theory.

We should however take into account the extra bilinear fermion coupling in (6.27). Writing the fermions in Dirac form it can be seen to contribute a (gauge off-diagonal) mass term, which can be treated as a perturbation to the usual Dirac operator  $\Delta_D$ . However, because of the chirality of the two fermions of this new vertex, it does not contribute to the determinant. The analysis can be reduced to standard techniques and we will not further pursue it here.

## 7. Outlook

The results in this paper should be seen as a preliminary step to an instanton calculation with matter included. Indeed, for the weak coupling semi-classical approximation to be self-consistent, in the moduli space integration one should introduce an infrared cutoff to prevent the coupling constant from becoming strong. The one-loop behavior of  $g$  for  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric theories is the same as in  $N = 1$  SYM, so one inevitably runs into strongly coupled regions. It is hoped that the Higgs field  $VEV$  will provide the infrared cutoff, just as in the ordinary case. (It would be interesting to explore higher-loop renormalizations, using explicit supergraph techniques for example, as well as genuinely non-perturbative consequences our results could have for  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric theories.)

A different direction would be to explore whether more general (anti-) instanton solutions to the C-deformed equations of motion exist, and what relevance these could have both at the mathematical and the physical level. The case of multi-instantons immediately comes to mind.

Another very interesting application of the present analysis would be the extension to  $N=2$  SYM (see for example [23]) where the complete many instanton computation can be performed along the lines of [24] using the methods of equivariant cohomology.

Finally, a dual picture can be constructed. Using the analysis of Ooguri and Vafa one can see that the supersymmetry can be restored by changing the commutation properties of the gluino  $\bar{\lambda}$

$$\{\bar{\lambda}_\alpha^a, \bar{\lambda}_\beta^b\} = C_{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ab}. \quad (7.1)$$

However, this prescription has to be handled at the quantum level in the process of quantizing the gluinos. This implies that the system is constrained and therefore has to be carefully discussed. We notice however that there is a similarity in the eqs. of Imaapur [15] and the constraints on the gluinos. We see that the eqs. (7.1) coincides with [15] if  $F_{\mu\nu}^{a,+} \propto C_{\mu\nu}$ .

## 8. Acknowledgements

We thank Martin Roček and Peter van Nieuwenhuizen for useful discussions. We also wish to express our gratitude to the organizers of the Simons Workshop in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics at Stony Brook for providing a stimulating atmosphere which encouraged this project. This work is partially supported by NSF grant PHY-0098527.

**Addendum** After completion of the first version of this paper, but before submission to the archives, [25] appeared, there is a partial overlap with our results. Also, in the first version, it was erroneously claimed that the  $U(1)$  topological charge was not vanishing, as implicitly pointed out in [26]<sup>15</sup>. We believe, however, that the  $C$  independence of gluino condensates, and of the volume of the deformed  $U(2)$  anti-instanton moduli space, pointed out in that previous version, are independent of that flaw. Moreover, the general iterative method of solving the equations of motion in fermionic quasi-collective coordinates, and the dependence of the topological charge density on these quasi-collective coordinates and  $C$ , are of course unaffected.

---

<sup>15</sup> We acknowledge them for making us realize it.

## References

- [1] J. H. Schwarz and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, *Speculations Concerning A Fermionic Sub-structure Of Space-Time*, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **34**, 21 (1982).
- [2] P. Bouwknegt, J. G. McCarthy and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Fusing the coordinates of quantum superspace*, Phys. Lett. B **394**, 82 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9611067].
- [3] I. Bars and S. W. MacDowell, *Spinor Theory Of General Relativity Without Elementary Gravitons*, Phys. Lett. B **71**, 111 (1977).
- [4] S. J. Gates, *Ectoplasm has no topology: The prelude*, arXiv:hep-th/9709104; S. J. Gates, *Ectoplasm has no topology*, Nucl. Phys. B **541**, 615 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9809056].
- [5] N. Seiberg, *Noncommutative superspace,  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetry, field theory and string theory*, JHEP **0306**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305248]. N. Berkovits and N. Seiberg, *Superstrings in graviphoton background and  $N = 1/2 + 3/2$  supersymmetry*, JHEP **0307**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306226].
- [6] J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Non-commutative superspace from string theory*, Phys. Lett. B. [arXiv:hep-th/0302078]; H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *The  $C$ -deformation of gluino and non-planar diagrams*, [arXiv:hep-th/0302109]; H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Gravity induced  $C$ -deformation*, arXiv:hep-th/0303063.
- [7] I. Bars, C. Deliduman, A. Pasqua and B. Zumino, *Superstar in noncommutative superspace via covariant quantization of the superparticle*, arXiv:hep-th/0308107.
- [8] D. Klemm, S. Penati and L. Tamassia, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 2905 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0104190].
- [9] S. Terashima and J. T. Yee, arXiv:hep-th/0306237.
- [10] R. Britto, B. Feng and S. J. Rey, *Deformed superspace,  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetry and (non)renormalization theorems*, JHEP **0307**, 067 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306215]; M. T. Grisaru, S. Penati and A. Romagnoni, *Two-loop renormalization for nonanticommutative  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric WZ model*, JHEP **0308**, 003 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307099]; A. Romagnoni, *Renormalizability of  $N = 1/2$  Wess-Zumino model in superspace*, arXiv:hep-th/0307209
- [11] M. A. Shifman and A. I. Vainshtein, *Instantons versus supersymmetry: Fifteen years later*, arXiv:hep-th/9902018.
- [12] D. S. Freed and K. K. Uhlenbeck, “Instantons And Four - Manifolds,” Second Edition, Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [13] A. V. Belitsky, S. Vandoren and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Yang-Mills and D-instantons*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 3521 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0004186]
- [14] N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, *The calculus of many instantons*, Phys. Rept. **371**, 231 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206063].

- [15] A. Imaanpur, *On instantons and zero modes of  $N = 1/2$  SYM theory*, JHEP **0309**, 077 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308171].
- [16] S. Vandoren and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *New instantons in the double-well potential*, Phys. Lett. B **499**, 280 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0010130].
- [17] I. Jack and H. Osborn, Nucl. Phys. B **249**, 472 (1985).
- [18] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9908142].
- [19] R. Britto and B. Feng,  *$N = 1/2$  Wess-Zumino model is renormalizable*, arXiv:hep-th/0307165; O. Lunin and S. J. Rey, *Renormalizability of non(anti)commutative gauge theories with  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetry*, JHEP **0309**, 045 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307275]; D. Berenstein and S. J. Rey, *Wilsonian proof for renormalizability of  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric field theories*, arXiv:hep-th/0308049.
- [20] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **45BC**, 1 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9509066].
- [21] D. Amati and A. Rouet, “Renormalization Of Yang-Mills Theory Developed Around An Instanton,” Nuovo Cim. A **50**, 265 (1979).
- [22] M. Alishahiha, A. Ghodsi and N. Sadooghi, arXiv:hep-th/0309037.
- [23] S. Ferrara and E. Sokatchev, *Non-anticommutative  $N = 2$  super-Yang-Mills theory with singlet deformation*, arXiv:hep-th/0308021; E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld and B. Zupnik, *Nilpotent deformations of  $N = 2$  superspace*, arXiv:hep-th/0308012.
- [24] N. A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, arXiv:hep-th/0206161.
- [25] A. Imaanpur, *Comments on Gluino Condensates in  $N=1/2$  SYM Theory*, hep-th/0311137
- [26] R. Britto, B. Feng, O. Lunin and S. J. Rey,  *$U(N)$  instantons on  $N = 1/2$  superspace: Exact solution and geometry of moduli space*, arXiv:hep-th/0311275.